Gradings and a TQFT

Kevin van Helden

PhD in Fundamentals of the Universe Rijksuniversiteit Groningen

Talk 10 on Khovanov Homology

8 December 2020





Pre-additive category

Definition

A **pre-additive category** C is a category C such that for all $C, D, E \in \mathsf{Ob}(C)$ and for all $f, g \in \mathsf{Mor}(C, D)$ and $h, j \in \mathsf{Mor}(D, E)$, we have that

- Mor(C, D) is an abelian group;
- 2 $h \circ (f +_{G_1} g) = (h \circ f) +_{G_3} (h \circ g);$

for $G_1 := Mor(C, D)$, $G_2 := Mor(D, E)$ and $G_3 := Mor(C, E)$.

• Extend (non-pre-additive) categories to pre-additive categories by allowing \mathbb{Z} -linear combinations of the "original" morphisms.



Mat(C)

Definition

Let C be pre-additive. Then Mat(C) is a category with

- **①** Objects: direct sums $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{i}$ of objects \mathcal{O}_{i} of \mathcal{C} ;
- ② Morphisms: a morphism f from $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \mathcal{O}_{i}$ to $\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n'} \mathcal{O}'_{j}$ is a matrix consisting of entries $f_{ii}: \mathcal{O}_{i} \to \mathcal{O}_{i}$;
- $$\begin{split} \text{ if } f, \tilde{f} \in \operatorname{Mor}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathcal{O}_i, \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n'} \mathcal{O}_j') \text{ and } \\ g \in \operatorname{Mor}(\bigoplus_{j=1}^{n'} \mathcal{O}_j', \bigoplus_{k=1}^{n''} \mathcal{O}_k''), \text{ then } f + \tilde{f} \text{ is given by } \\ (f + \tilde{f})_{ij} = f_{ij} + \tilde{f}_{ij} \text{ and } g \circ f \text{ is given by } \end{aligned}$$

$$(g\circ f)_{ik}=\sum_{j=1}^{n'}g_{jk}\circ f_{ij}.$$

iversiteit zen

Cobordisms of tangles

Definition (Cobordisms)

Let B be a finite subset of S^1 . The category $\mathbf{Cob}^3(B)$ consists of

- Objects: closed oriented 1-submanifolds T of the unit disk D^1 with $\partial T = B$;
- Morphisms: $C: T \to T'$ is a oriented 2-submanifold of $D^1 \times I$ such that $\partial C = T' \sqcup \overline{T} \sqcup (B \times I)$, where \overline{T} is T with the reverse orientation.





Graded categories

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a category. Then an \mathcal{A} -graded category is a catgeory \mathcal{C} with a functor $F:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{A}$.



Graded categories

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a category. Then an \mathcal{A} -graded category is a catgeory \mathcal{C} with a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$.

Here: choose $\mathcal A$ to be the category $\mathbb Z$: one object \star and morphisms which form the group $\mathbb Z$ with composition as the group multiplication.



Graded categories

Definition

Let \mathcal{A} be a category. Then an \mathcal{A} -graded category is a catgeory \mathcal{C} with a functor $F: \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{A}$.

Here: choose $\mathcal A$ to be the category $\mathbb Z$: one object \star and morphisms which form the group $\mathbb Z$ with composition as the group multiplication.

Definition

A \mathbb{Z} -category is a category \mathcal{C} with a map $F: \mathsf{Mor}(C,D) \to \mathbb{Z}$ for all $C,D \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ such that for all $C,D,E \in \mathsf{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$ and $f \in \mathsf{Mor}(C,D), g \in \mathsf{Mor}(D,E)$, we have that

•
$$F(g \circ f) = F(g) + F(f);$$

2
$$F(id_C) = 0$$
.

iversiteit zen



Shift of graded categories

If C is a Z-graded category, then we can shift objects of C by m ∈ Z.
 In that case, we have

```
Mor(\mathcal{O}_1\{m_1\}, \mathcal{O}_2\{m_2\}) = \text{Mor}(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2), but if f \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{O}_1, \mathcal{O}_2) has F(f) = d, then f \in \text{Mor}(\mathcal{O}_1\{m_1\}, \mathcal{O}_2\{m_2\}) has F(f) = d + m_2 - m_1.
```



Applying our TQFT

Graded categories?

- If C is a \mathbb{Z} -graded category, then we say that $F(f) = d \in \mathbb{Z}$ for a morphism f in Mat(C) if $F(f_{ij}) = d$ for all entries.
- A similar statement holds for Kom(C) (or Kom(Mat(C))).



The degree of a cobordism (part 1)

We pick $F = \deg$.

Definition

Let C be a morphism of $\mathbf{Cob}^3(B)$. Then the degree of C is given by $\deg(C) = \chi(C) - \frac{1}{2}|B|$, where $\chi(C)$ is the Euler characteristic of C. and where |B| is the number of vertical boundary components of C.

Example

- **2** deg(4) = -1.





The degree of a cobordism (part 2)

Proposition

The degree of a cobordism is additive under compositions.

Proof.

iversiteit gen



The degree of a cobordism (part 2)

Proposition

The degree of a cobordism is additive under compositions.

Proof.

Let C, D be composable morphisms of $Cob^3(B)$. Claim: $\chi(D \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}|B|$.

> iversiteit zen



The degree of a cobordism (part 2)

Proposition

The degree of a cobordism is additive under compositions.

Proof.

Let C, D be composable morphisms of $Cob^3(B)$.

Claim: $\chi(D \cap C) = \frac{1}{2}|B|$.

Given the claim, we find that

$$\begin{split} \deg(D \circ C) &= \deg(D \cup C) = \chi(D \cup C) - \frac{1}{2}|B| \\ &= \chi(D) + \chi(C) - \chi(D \cap C) - \frac{1}{2}|B| \\ &= \chi(D) + \chi(C) - \frac{1}{2}|B| - \frac{1}{2}|B| = \deg(D) + \deg(C). \end{split}$$

iversiteit

The degree of a cobordism (part 3)

Proposition

The degree of a cobordism is additive under planar diagrams.

Lemma

The Euler characteristic of a cylinder is 0.

The Euler characteristic of two circles connected by ℓ lines is $-\ell$.

The Euler characteristic of a disk is 1.









rijksuniversiteit groningen



The degree of a cobordism (part 4)

Proposition

The degree of a cobordism is additive under planar diagrams.

Proof.

Let D be a planar diagram with two inputs, $|B_D|$ points on the outer circle, and $|B_i|$ on the i-th input. Furthermore, let C_i be a morphism of $\mathbf{Cob}^3(B_i)$.

Claim:
$$\chi(D) = \frac{1}{2}(|B_D| + |B_1| + |B_2|).$$



The degree of a cobordism (part 5)

Proof.

Given the claim, we find that

$$\begin{split} \deg(D(C_1,C_2)) &= \chi((D(C_1,C_2))) - \frac{1}{2}|B_D| \\ &= \chi(D \cup C_1 \cup C_2) - \frac{1}{2}|B_D| \\ &= \chi(D) + \chi(C_1) + \chi(C_2) - \chi(D \cap C_1) - \chi(D \cap C_2) - \frac{1}{2}|B_D| \\ &= \frac{1}{2}(|B_D| + |B_1| + |B_2|) + \chi(C_1) + \chi(C_2) - |B_1| - |B_2| - \frac{1}{2}|B_D| \\ &= \chi(C_1) + \chi(C_2) - \frac{1}{2}|B_1| - \frac{1}{2}|B_2| \\ &= \deg(C_1) + \deg(C_2). \quad \Box \end{split}$$



Homogeneous relations

Proposition

The S, T and 4Tu relations are degree-homogeneous.

+ = + +

S

Ί

4-Tu



A new complex (part 1)

Let T be a tangle diagram. Consider the complex Kh(T) given by $Kh^r(T) := [[T]]^r \{r + n_+ - n_-\}.$

Theorem

- All differentials in Kh(T) are of degree 0;
- Kh(T) is an invariant of T up to degree-0 homotopy equivalences.



A new complex (part 2)

Theorem

- All differentials in Kh(T) are of degree 0;
- Kh(T) is an invariant of T up to degree-0 homotopy equivalences.

Proof.

If $f : [[T]]^k \to [[T]]^{k+1}$ has degree d, then $f : \mathsf{Kh}^k(T) \to \mathsf{Kh}^{k+1}(T)$ has degree $\deg(f) + (k+1+n_+-n_-) - (k+n_+-n_-) = \deg(f) + 1$.

iversiteit



A functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B) \to \textbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$





$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{functor} \; \mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B) \to \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{functor} \; \mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Mat}(\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B)) \to \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{functor} \; \mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B) \to \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{functor} \; \mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Mat}(\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B)) \to \mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow \\ \mathsf{A} \; \mathsf{functor} \; \mathcal{F} : \mathsf{Kom}(\mathsf{Mat}(\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B))) \to \mathsf{Kom}(\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}) \end{array}$$









A functor
$$\mathcal{F}: \mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B) \to \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$$

$$\downarrow$$
A functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathsf{Mat}(\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B)) \to \mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$

$$\downarrow$$
A functor $\mathcal{F}: \mathsf{Kom}(\mathsf{Mat}(\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(B))) \to \mathsf{Kom}(\mathsf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}})$

$$\downarrow$$

$$\mathcal{F}\mathsf{Kh}(T) \text{ is an invariant of } T \text{ up to homotopy}$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$H(\mathcal{F}\mathsf{Kh}(T)) \text{ is an invariant of } T$$

If we change $\mathbf{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ into $\mathbf{grVect}_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and if \mathcal{F} respects degrees, (i.e. $\deg(\mathcal{F}(f)) = \deg(f)$), then $H(\mathcal{F}\mathsf{Kh}(T))$ is a graded invariant of T.



Our Frobenius algebra

Our choice of Frobenius algebra is $V = \mathbb{Q}[x] \oplus \mathbb{Q}[1]$, $\deg(x) = -1$, $\deg(1) = 1$

- $m(1 \otimes 1) = 1$, $m(x \otimes 1) = x = m(1 \otimes x)$, $m(x \otimes x) = 0$;
- $\eta(1) = 1$;
- $\epsilon(1) = 0, \, \epsilon(x) = 1.$

Call the corresponding TQFT \mathcal{F} .



Properties of \mathcal{F} (part 1)

Proposition

 $\mathcal F$ respects degrees and descends to a functor $\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(\emptyset) o \mathbf{grVect}_{\mathbb O}.$

Proof.

Check the degrees! What is the degree of a pair of pants?

iversiteit zen



Properties of \mathcal{F} (part 1)

Proposition

 $\mathcal F$ respects degrees and descends to a functor $\mathsf{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(\emptyset) o \mathbf{grVect}_{\mathbb O}.$

Proof.

Check the degrees! What is the degree of a pair of pants?

(S)
$$\epsilon \circ \eta = 0$$
, as $\epsilon(\eta(1)) = \epsilon(1) = 0$.

iversiteit gen



Properties of \mathcal{F} (part 1)

Proposition

 $\mathcal F$ respects degrees and descends to a functor $\mathrm{Cob}^3_{/\ell}(\emptyset) o \mathbf{grVect}_{\mathbb O}.$

Proof.

Check the degrees! What is the degree of a pair of pants?

(S)
$$\epsilon \circ \eta = 0$$
, as $\epsilon(\eta(1)) = \epsilon(1) = 0$.

(*T*) The torus *T* is given by $\epsilon \circ m \circ \delta \circ \eta$. We have that

$$\epsilon(m(\Delta(\eta(1)))) = \epsilon(m(\Delta(1))) = \epsilon(m(1 \otimes x + x \otimes 1))$$

= \epsilon(x + x) = 2. (4)

iversiteit zen



Properties of \mathcal{F} (part 2)

Proof.

(47*u*) We prove that
$$L := (\mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc) + \mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc))$$
 (1) is equal to $R := (\mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc) + \mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc))$ (1).





Properties of \mathcal{F} (part 2)

Proof.

(47*u*) We prove that $L := (\mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc) + \mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc))$ (1) is equal to $R := (\mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc) + \mathcal{F}(\bigcirc \bigcirc))$ (1) We find that

$$L := \Delta \eta(1) \otimes \eta(1) \otimes \eta(1) + \eta(1) \otimes \eta(1) \otimes \Delta \eta(1)$$

$$= 1 \otimes x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 + x \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$$

$$+ 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x + 1 \otimes 1 \otimes x \otimes 1.$$
(5)

By applying permutations, this is equal to R.



